Risk Description		CORP1: Sustainability – Medium Term Financial Strategy Failure to deliver a sustainable financial strategy that supports the delivery of the corporate strategy and four priorities.								
Diale Ourner					gy that suppo	orts the dei	ivery or the c	corporate s	trategy and four p	oriorities.
Risk Owner Last Update	Q1 2024/2		Resources: Marget Date		March 25 /	ongoing	<u> </u>			
<u> </u>	Amber (12		Target Like		Possible 3	ongoing	Target Imp	a a ct	Major 4	
Target Score Current Score	Red (16))	Current Lil		Probable 4		Current In			
Current Score	Red (16)		Current Li	Kelillood	Probable 4		Current in	ірасі	Major 4	
Risk Confidence Profile (Likelihood of achieving target score by target date)	22/23	Q3	Q4	23/24	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	24/25	Q1
Reputation Impact Assessment	Moderate	Moderate Financial Impact Assessment Band 8 Expected Direction of Travel								
	 The 20 finance £0.856 The up inhere deliver 2024/2 £5.828 to school Update 	ially sustainal 024/25 rever e settlement of following odated MTFS nt within that ry, with c£10 25 Quarter 3 3m (0.56% of ool transpor	able position nue budget was and final tags and with £3 is forecastive at forecast poom of agreed monitoring the revenual to being mitigates.	vas approve xbase infor 37m of new ng a gap of osition included ed savings e position pre budget) we gated by off	ed at Full Cou mation from agreed savin £10.4m in 20 uding future I mbedded wit esented to Ca vith pressures setting cost re	ncil in Febr district cou gs. 25/26 and a evels of de hin the 202 abinet in Fe on deman eductions.	fuary following incils, with a second for se	ng the outcovery small of 26/27 howervice, inflation that and MTFS yed a forecast, particula	st small revenue rly children's soci	government n reserves of umber of risks nd savings

Pick Description	CORP2: W	orkforce								
Risk Description	Unable to	nable to attract and recruit candidates and retain staff leading to an inability to deliver services. ecutive Director of Resources: Mark Wynn								
Risk Owner	Executive (Director of F	Resources: N	1ark Wynn						
Last Update	Q1 24/25		Target Dat	:e	March 25 /	ongoing				
Target Score	Amber (9)	nber (9) Target Likelihood Possible 3 Target Impact Major 3								
Current Score	Red (16)		Current Lil	kelihood	Probable 4		Current In	npact	Major 4	
Risk Confidence Profile (Likelihood of achieving target score by target date)	22/23	Q3	Q4	23/24	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	24/25	Q1
Reputation Impact Assessment	Low	Low Financial Impact Assessment Band 0 Direction of Travel								
Progress	 A new acquisi Review redesig Leader Revise plannii Adult S 	People Servition function func	vices operation. ent workforcenchmarked anagement p	velopment ng model to e being refu against eme performance workforce p	with associate commence in the	ed metrics. n April 202 ntify requir st practice. agreed and ched Nover	4 with key pred staffing leady for ready for ready for moder 2023 a	riorities est evels and sk oll out. nd has beer	ablished and in ills and recruitr	ms of attraction, cluding a talent ment process to be the business ing at 30% in some

	CORP3: Pro	moting Inde	pendence an	d Reducing	Demand					
Risk Description	Demand for	client-base	d services con	ntinues to inc	rease resultin	g in increas	ed budget pre	ssures and p	oor outcomes	for those
	people in re	ceipt of our	services							
Risk Owner	Executive D	irector of Ac	lult Social Car	e: Louise Tay	lor and Execu	tive Directo	or of Education	and Childre	en's Services: Ja	cqui Old
Last Update	Q1 24/25		Target Date	•	March 25 /	ongoing				
Target Score	Amber (9)		Target Like	lihood	Possible 3		Target Impa	act	Moderate 3	
Current Score	Amber (12)		Current Like	elihood	Probable 3		Current Imp	oact	Major 4	
Risk Confidence Profile										
(Likelihood of achieving target	22/23	Q3	Q4	23/24	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	24/25	Q1
score by target date)										
Reputation Impact	High		Financial In	npact	Band 8		Direction of	FTravel		L
Assessment	riigii		Assessmen	t	Dariu 8		Direction o	IIIavei		
	_				•			_		
	Waiting lists	s and backlo	gs are a priori	ity in the imp	rovement pla	n. Facilitate	e Consultancy	leading the	review and hav	'e
	secured add	ditional reso	urce to suppo	rt the reduc	tion in people	waiting. Ne	w strength-ba	sed practice	model will im	orove
	processes a	round front-	line assessme	ent and the c	reation of nev	w Wellheing	and Farly sun	port functio	n will reduce w	aiting
	•					_		•		_
			_	_			_		l, participation	
	hospital esc	alation calls	to undertake	mitigating a	ctions require	ed as approp	oriate, and ong	oing dialogi	ue with partner	S.
	5 1	C. Obilities								
			n with Comple						6.1	
			•				•	-	ccessful, and p	•
									plex needs in h	
		_	•		Recruitment s	trategy in p	lace to equip	our workfor	ce with the skil	ls and
	knowledge	to meet the	needs of thes	se children.						
	SEND						_			
	Additional S	SEN Units co	ntinue to be e	established a	nd agreement	t to establis	h new SEN pro	vision in No	rth of the Cour	
					•		•			•
				0,	agreed by Cal	binet Septe	mber 2023. SE	•	on readiness w	ork
				0,	agreed by Cal	binet Septe	mber 2023. SE	•		ork
	continues to	strengthen	oversight an	d refreshing	agreed by Cal	binet Septe rovement P	mber 2023. SE Plan. Strengthe	ned Early H	on readiness w	ork gh Famil
	continues to Hubs Netwo	o strengthen orks and furt	oversight and her Hubs beir	d refreshing ng launched	agreed by Cal	binet Septe rovement F 1. However,	mber 2023. SE Plan. Strengthe	ned Early H	on readiness w elp offer throu	ork gh Famil

Home to School Transport

The service is introducing more attractive Transport Assistance Grants (TAG) and putting additional resources into encouraging their uptake. (A TAG is a regular payment to a parent/carer to provide transport themselves for their eligible children. Many families find the payment very attractive, and we benefit from considerable cost savings cf. a taxi). Independent Travel Training is being pursued to give young people the skills to travel on conventional transport. (This gives young people valuable life skills whilst reducing our costs). A Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) has been introduced to manage transport tenders and the option for reverse auctions is included. Additional vehicles are to be introduced into the in-house fleet of minibuses. Most of the new vehicles will have a lower capacity which will have the benefits of being able to be deployed flexibly and driven by drivers who don't possess D101 licence exemptions. This will help us to consolidate journeys on several taxis and will particularly help where taxi provision is weak and/or costly. We are looking to keep single occupancy journeys to a minimum recruit additional drivers and passenger assistants and service budgets are being increased to accommodate additional costs.

	CORP4: Cus	stomer Cent	ric Service De	livery						
Risk Description	That the co	hat the council will not be sufficiently radical or innovative to transform services at the required pace to achieve the scale of								he scale of
	change nee	ded over th	e 4 years of th	ne current M	TFS period 20	22/23-2025,	/26			
Risk Owner	Executive D	irector of Re	esources: Mar	k Wynn						
Last Update	Q1 24/25		Target Date	e	March 25 /	ongoing				
Target Score	Amber (9)		Target Like	lihood	Possible 3		Target Imp	act	Moderate 3	
Current Score	Red (16)		Current Lik	elihood	Probable 4		Current Im	pact	Major 4	
Risk Confidence Profile (Likelihood of achieving target score by target date)	22/23	Q1	Q2	23/24	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	24/25	Q1
Reputation Impact Assessment	Moderate		Financial Ir Assessmen	•	Band 8		Direction o	f Travel	1	
Progress	 Revised change governance in place through the Change and Digital Board, meeting monthly and Priority Change portfolio agreed by Executive Management Team in Jan 2024. Single view of change requirements developing through collaboration between Change and Digital Board and People Board, plus links to property programme and contracts programme. Change Service restructure completed and recruitment ongoing, with service live by March 2024. Service redesign proofs of concept completed with phasing plan in place with first redesign commencing April 24. Work underway on Resources-wide redesign and agreed areas of the council's blueprint. 									

Risk Description		CORP5: School Places								
		Insufficient school Places in some parts of Lancashire meaning children and young people are missing out on education.								
Risk Owner		ecutive Director of Education and Children's Services: Jacqui Old								
Last Update	Q1 24/25	. 24/25 Target Date March 25								
Target Score	Amber (9)		Target Likel	lihood	Possible 3		Target Imp	act	Moderate 3	}
Current Score	Amber (12)		Current Like				Current Im	pact	Major 4	
Risk Confidence Profile (Likelihood of achieving target score by target date)	22/23	Q3	Q4	23/24	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	24/25	Q1
Reputation Impact Assessment	Moderate	Moderate Financial Impact Assessment Band 5 Direction of Travel						\Rightarrow		
Progress	 Publicit subscrip Officers the area The expandible Consult 	y, including sotion for indicate attend oper as with the monantial pansion of positive of places ations have the sound of	social media h vidual school n evenings for nost pressure pular schools in higher yea taken place in	the most over the most of	the number of geographica versubscribed	f late applicated priority are schools, and serious available for the in-year adress accondary schools.	ations, and theas. d year 6 pared or Year 7 pupinissions. nools in Prest	ne website no nt information ils has been on.	dress language ow shows leve on sessions are	ls of e held in

Opportunity Description Risk Owner Last Update	There is an shared outo legislation,	pportunity ID CO1: Sub Regional Place Leadership and Governance here is an opportunity for Lancashire to secure appropriate sub-regional governance, powers and resource to maximise hared outcomes and priorities (e.g. Combined Council Authority and Devolution Deal(s)) through Central Government regislation, negotiation, reorganisation or other mechanism. Executive Director of Growth, Environment, Transport and Heath: Phil Green 4 23/24 Target Date Autumn 25								
Target Score	Blue (16)		Target Like	lihood	Possible 3		Target Imp	act	Major 4	
Current Score	Light Blue (12)	Current Lik	elihood	Probable 4		Current Im	pact	Major 4	
Risk Confidence Profile (Likelihood of achieving target score by target date)	22/23	Q3	Q4	23/34	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	24/25	Q1
Reputation Impact Assessment	Moderate (positive)	Financial In	•	Band 8 (pos	sitive)	Direction	of Travel	1	
Progress	The productResource design	 A Devolution Deal was signed by the 3 UTLA Leaders and the Levelling Up Minister in November 2023. Full Council approval for the deal and subsequent consultation was achieved in late November. The process for securing the Deal and the CCA is ongoing with risks being managed. Resources required to support the next phase of work, drawing on all UTLAs is being agreed, ahead of a more detailed design of the CCA Governance, Organisational design, and funding proposals. 								

Scoring Matrix

	CATASTROP HIC (for risk) OUTSTANDIN G (for opportunity)	5	10	15	20	25
	MAJOR	4	8	12	16	20
	MODERATE	3	6	9	12	15
IMPAC T	MINOR	2	4	6	8	10
	INSIGNIFICAN T	1	2	3	4	5
		RAR E	UNLIKELY	POSSIBL E	LIKEL Y	CERTAI N
			LIKELIHO OD			

Risk Estimation

It is important that the council as a whole uses the same methodology to calculate risk to ensure that LCC has an accurate overview of the risks that are posed. The risks are scored using two criteria scales that are then multiplied together to produce a total score by which the risk is assessed as to the impact to the directorate and then to the council. The two criteria used are the Likelihood of an event occurring and the Impact that event could have.

		Corp	orate Impact As	sessment Crit	eria of Risk Cate	gory		
Scale	Financial (Cost per annum)	Reputational	Physical Injury/Health and Safety	Environmental Damage	Service/Operational Disruption/Key Targets/Objectives	Statutory Duties/ legal Implications	Partnership Implications	Stakeholder Implications
5 Very High	>£25,000,000	Lasting or permanent brand damage resulting from adverse comments in national press and media. Members/Officers forced to resign	Death or severe life- changing injuries	Major national or international	Severe disruption/loss of service more than 7 days	Multiple Litigation	Complete failure / breakdown of partnership	Stakeholders would be unable to pursue their rights and entitlement and may face life threatening consequences
4 High	£10,000,000 to <£25,000,000	Temporary brand damage from coverage in national press/media	Extensive or multiple injuries/ Incidents reportable to HSE	Major local impact	Disruption/Loss of service less than 7 days	Litigation	Significant impact on partnership or most of expected benefits fail	Stakeholders would experience considerable difficulty in pursuing rights and entitlements
3 Medium	£5,000,000 to <£10,000,000	Extensive coverage in regional press/radio/TV/social media	Serious injuries/ incidents reportable to HSE	Moderate locally	Disruption/Loss of service less than 48 hours	Ombudsman	Adverse effect on partnering arrangements	Some minor effects on the ability of stakeholders to pursue rights and entitlements, eg other sources or avenues would not be available to stakeholders
2 Low	£2,5000,000 to <£5,000,000	Minor adverse comments in regional press/social media	Minor (i.e. first aid treatment)/ No time lost from work	Minor locally	Internal disruption only, no loss of service	Individual Claims	Minimal Impact on Partnership	Minimal impact without needing to look at other sources or avenues
1 Negligible	<£2,500,000	Minimal adverse comments with minimal press/social media	None	None/ Insignificant	No loss of service	No impact	No Impact	No impact

Likelihood Scoring

5	Almost certain	The event is expected to occur every year.
---	----------------	--

4	Probable	The event could occur every year.
3	Possible	The event could occur every two years.
2	Unlikely	The event could occur every five years.
1	Rare	The event could occur every 10 years or longer.

Reputational Risk

Each risk is assessed for the potential reputational brand damage to the council if the risk materialised.

Extremely high	Lasting or permanent national/local brand damage resulting from adverse comments in national press and media. Members/officers
Extremely mgm	almost certainly forced to resign. For example – S114 notice or criminal investigation.
High	Temporary national/local damage lasting up to two years from coverage in national and/or regional press/media. Members/officers
півіі	potentially forced to resign. For example – major service failure leading to inadequate inspection.
Moderate	Temporary local brand damage lasting up to one year from extensive coverage in regional press/media. For example – budget savings
iviouerate	resulting in service reduction or inability to meet demand pressures.
Low	Temporary local brand damage lasting up to a few weeks from minor adverse comments in regional press/social media. For example
Low	– response to local incident such as flooding or fire and/or Ombudsman reports.
Eytromoly low	Negligible local brand damage from limited adverse comments with minimal press/social media. For example – closure of a local
Extremely low	service point.

Financial Risk

Each risk is assessed for the potential range of capital and/or revenue loss to the council if the risk materialised.

Band 8	Loss over £20 million
Band 7	Loss between £10 million and £20 million
Band 6	Loss between £5 million and £10 million
Band 5	Loss between £3 million and £5 million
Band 4	Loss between £1 million and £3 million
Band 3	Loss between £100,000 and £1 million
Band 2	Loss between £50,000 and £100,000
Band 1	Loss under £50,000

Band 0	No financial loss